#### **Cosmology with Galaxy Clusters**

# V. The Cluster Mass Function



Assuming clusters large enough to be representative, mass composition should match Universe

$$f_b = \frac{M_b}{M_{tot}} = \frac{\Omega_b}{\Omega_M}$$

 $\star$  observe  $f_{_{\rm b}}$  and constrain  $\Omega_{_{\rm M}}$ 

Assuming  $f_{b}$  redshift independent, any observed variation with z due to assumed cosmology

$$f_{gas} = \propto d_L d_A^{1/2}$$

 $\star$  constrain E(z) and from observed f<sub>b</sub>(z)

combined with CMB and SNIa and including possible sytematics:

 $\Omega_M = 0.253 \pm 0.021 \qquad w = -0.98 \pm 0.07$ 



Recall that initially overdense regions overcome expansion to collapse to form structures

Structure in Universe depends on \* expansion history: E(z)\* initial density distribution:  $\sigma_8$ 

Number density of clusters sensitive to growth of structure \* also sensitive to volume sampled

 $\star$  additional E(z) constraints

$$dV_{\chi}(z) = \frac{c}{a_0 H_0} \frac{(1+z)^2 d_A^2}{E(z)} d\Omega dz$$



Mass function describes number of clusters of mass M per unit comoving volume

- can be derived analytically, but most commonly measured from large volume simulations
- \* simulate volume of Universe and detect and count structures of different mass at different
- repeat for different cosmologies



Jenkins et al. (2001; MNRAS, 321)



Mass function describes number of clusters of mass M per unit comoving volume

- \* decreasing function of M
  \* steepens at high M
  - very high mass clusters extremely rare



Jenkins et al. (2001; MNRAS, 321)



Mass function describes number of clusters of mass M per unit comoving volume

 changing cosmological parameters affects:

shape of MF at z=0



Fedeli et al, (2008, A&A, 486)



Mass function describes number of clusters of mass M per unit comoving volume

- changing cosmological parameters affects:
  - ► shape of MF at z=0
  - evolution of MF with redshift

Obtain cosmological constraints by counting n(M) for clusters at different z



Fedeli et al, (2008, A&A, 486)



## Measuring the Mass Function

To measure the MF observationally, need three stages

- detect and count clusters
  - cluster surveys
- \* determine volume surveyed> survey selection function
- \* estimate cluster masses
   > scaling relations



#### **Cluster Surveys**

- As we saw, clusters first detected in optical
- \* prone to projection effects
- \* red sequence surveys promising
  - select clusters based on galaxies of same colour





### **Cluster Surveys**

- As we saw, clusters first detected in optical
- \* prone to projection effects
- \* red sequence surveys promising
  - select clusters based on galaxies of same colour
- Weak lensing surveys being developed
- SZ surveys promising due to z independence \* Vanderlinde et al (2010; ApJ 722) for early results



# X-ray Surveys

Serendipitous X-ray surveys currently most successful
\* look at archive of X-ray images of compact targets
\* detect clusters as extended sources in X-ray images





follow up optical images to confirm galaxies
 optical spectra to measure redshift – confirmed cluster

Vikhlinin et al (1998; ApJ 502)



# X-ray Surveys

Detection of a cluster depends on X-ray surface brightness

- \* flux / solid angle
- high SB compact source high contrast against background – easy to detect
- \* low SB diffuse source low contrast hard to detect







# X-ray Surveys

Detection of a cluster depends on X-ray surface brightness

- \* flux / solid angle
- high SB compact source high contrast against background – easy to detect
- ★ low SB diffuse source low contrast hard to detect

#### SB depends on:

- $\star$  flux depends on L and z (or M and z) dominates
- \* angular size depends on physical size and z we'll neglect this

Typically define flux-limited sample

 $\star$  i.e. detected all clusters brighter than  $F_{lim}$  in survey area

#### **Cluster Masses**

X-ray survey lets us count clusters and measure F and z
 \* mass function needs number **density** of clusters in each **mass** bin (M +/- ΔM)





## **Cluster Masses**

X-ray survey lets us count clusters and measure F and z
 \* mass function needs number **density** of clusters in each **mass** bin (M +/- ΔM)

To get masses: \* use F, z to give L \* use LM relation for M





# **Cluster Masses**

X-ray survey lets us count clusters and measure F and z
 \* mass function needs number **density** of clusters in each **mass** bin (M +/- ΔM)

To get masses: \* use F, z to give L \* use LM relation for M

^ use ∟ or

 follow up X-ray observations to measure kT, Yx

★ use MT or MY relations





To compute number density, need survey volume
\* suppose we survey solid angle Ω on sky
\* detect n clusters in some mass bin (M +/- ΔM)

Q: what volume do we use to get density?

$$dV_{\chi}(z) = \frac{c}{a_0 H_0} \frac{(1+z)^2 d_A^2}{E(z)} d\Omega dz$$

Integrate dV over  $\Omega$  from z=0 to  $z_{max}$  $\star$  how decide what  $z_{max}$ ?





- $\boldsymbol{z}_{\text{max}}$  is max redshift to which we could have detected a cluster
- \* depends on L and hence M of cluster
- \* at some z, model a cluster of mass M
- calculate L for that M (LM relation)
- \* calculate flux for that L, z (cosmology dependent)
- $\star\,z_{_{max}}$  is when flux drops below flux limit





- $\boldsymbol{z}_{\text{max}}$  is max redshift to which we could have detected a cluster
- \* depends on L and hence M of cluster
- \* at some z, model a cluster of mass M
- calculate L for that M (LM relation)
- \* calculate flux for that L, z (cosmology dependent)
- $\star\,z_{_{max}}$  is when flux drops below flux limit
- $z_{max}$  depends on mass of cluster considered  $\star z_{max}(M)$





- $\Omega$  is survey area also depends on cluster mass
- \* survey area made up of many X-ray fields
- sensitivity of fields not uniform
  - different exposure times
  - highest sensitivity in centre
- bright sources could be detected near edge of field
- \* faint sources only detected near centre or longer exposures
  - smaller survey area



Chandra exposure map

- $\Omega$  is survey area also depends on cluster mass
- \* survey area made up of many X-ray fields
- sensitivity of fields not uniform
  - different exposure times
  - highest sensitivity in centre
- bright sources could be detected near edge of field
- ★ faint sources only detected near centre or longer exposures
  ▶ smaller survey area
- Survey area depends on source flux \* i.e. depends on mass and redshift \* Ω(M,z)



Chandra exposure map



Integrate volume element to get survey volume

$$V(M) \sim \int_0^{z_{max}(M)} \Omega(M, z) dz$$

 $\star$  area and  $z_{max}$  both depend on M

- volume surveyed depends on M
- Iarger survey volume for more massive clusters
- brighter and so easier to detect
- \* calculated V depends on LM relation and cosmology
  - often written as V(L)
  - referred to as selection function



# xkcd break



"The other two are still lost on the infinite plane of uniform density" - xkcd.com



Henry & Arnaud (1991) used temperature function of 25 clusters at z<0.1



\* Temp function related to mass function by MT relation  $\sigma_8 = 0.59 \pm 0.02$ 



Reiprich & Bohringer (2002) used 63 clusters at z<0.1 to measure mass function



 $\Omega_M = 0.12 \pm 0.05, \sigma_8 = 0.96 \pm 0.14$ 

**\*** N.B.  $\Omega_M$  and  $\sigma_8$  are anti-corellated



More recently, Vikhlinin et al (2009; ApJ 692) used 37 clusters at  $\langle z \rangle = 0.55$  and 49 clusters at  $\langle z \rangle = 0.05$ 



- \* Taken from 400SD X-ray cluster survey
- \* Clusters reobserved with Chandra for high quality data
- Used Yx scaling relation to estimate cluster masses



More recently, Vikhlinin et al (2009; ApJ 692) used 37 clusters at  $\langle z \rangle = 0.55$  and 49 clusters at  $\langle z \rangle = 0.05$ 



 Note how predicted function and measured values are both sensitive to cosmology for high-z clusters



#### Vikhlinin's constraints on $\Omega_M$ and $\sigma_8$



- \* different techniques give range in  $\sigma_8 \Omega_M$  plane \* this work:  $\sigma_8 = 0.813 \pm 0.012$
- **\*** simulations depend on  $\sigma_8$  like higher values as get more clusters!



#### Vikhlinin's constraints on $\ \Omega_{\Lambda}$ and w



combined constraints:  $w = -0.991 \pm 0.045$  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.740 \pm 0.012$ 

#### \* assumed flat Universe here

- \* note improvement of adding clusters
- \* from cluster mass function alone: w = -1.14 +/- 0.21
  - ► recall cluster f<sub>gas</sub>(z): w = -1.14 +/- 0.31



# **Caution: Mass Accuracy**

Dominant source of error is mass scaling relations
\* LM relation for volume calculations
\* YM relation (or MT etc) for mass estimates

- Vikhlinin et al (2009; ApJ, 692) tested X-ray YM relation against weak lensing masses
- good agreement, but more precision required
- tests of evolution of mass scaling relations needed





#### **Caution: Mass Accuracy**

- Recall ~10% underestimate of X-ray masses c.f. simulations
- **\*** black contour shows effect on  $\sigma_8, \Omega_M$





# **Caution: Selection Function**

How well are selection functions known?

- Santos et al (2010; A&A) compared surface brightness concentration c<sub>SB</sub> for different high-z X-ray samples
- Found significant difference in distributions
- \* 400SD (Vikhlinin) survey missing concentrated clusters at high-z
- \* clusters misclassified as point sources?
- \* errors in selection function?





- High mass clusters are
  \* brighter & rarer than low mass
  \* z<sub>max</sub> larger for high mass clusters
  - survey volume much larger





High mass clusters are\* brighter & rarer than low mass

- $\star z_{max}$  larger for high mass clusters
  - survey volume much larger
- Few low mass clusters detected at high-z as too faint
- Few high mass clusters detected at low-z as too rare (small volume)
- Mean z of massive clusters higher than low-mass clusters
- Malmquist bias accounted for by selection function





Consider flux limited sample at some z

- \* flux limit corresponds to some mass from LM relation
- \* Scatter in L(M) means some clusters with masses too low will be in sample and vice-versa



Consider flux limited sample at some z

- \* flux limit corresponds to some mass from LM relation
- Scatter in L(M) means some clusters with masses too low will be in sample and vice-versa

Slope of mass func means **more** clusters scattered **into** sample

 Biases sample to clusters with Lx high for their M



Consider flux limited sample at some z

- \* flux limit corresponds to some mass from LM relation
- Scatter in L(M) means some clusters with masses too low will be in sample and vice-versa
- Slope of mass func means **more** clusters scattered **into** sample
- Biases sample to clusters with Lx high for their M
- Amount of bias depends on slope at limit & scatter



#### Known as **Eddington Bias**

• overestimate cluster masses and number densities

Can correct if scatter in LM is known

- $\star$  does scatter vary with z?
- hard to measure, but may decrease with z (Maughan 2007; ApJ, 668)





# Summary 1

Cluster mass function sensitive to cosmology through

- ★ growth of structure N(M)
- \* geometry d(z), V(z)

Large, well-calibrated X-ray samples measure shape and evolution of MF

- selection function gives V(M,L)
- \* best constraints from reobserving clusters to get T, Yx

Mass uncertainties dominant source of error \* affect M and V calculations

Selection function essential to control biases



# Summary 2

Clusters powerful cosmological probes, with different sensitivities, assumptions to other methods



combined constraints:  $w = -0.991 \pm 0.045$  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.740 \pm 0.012$ 

Current best bet:

- ★ flat Universe, 70% dark energy
- \* DE is in form of cosmological constant (w=-1)

