

Mass Estimation Using the Virial Theorem

The big use of the virial theorem is to determine the mass of a *static* assembly of point masses – for example, the total mass of a globular cluster of (perhaps) 10^5 stars, or a cluster of 10^3 galaxies. In the simplest application of the virial theorem, we assume spherical symmetry and that all the objects are of the same mass, m . There are refinements of the theory where these assumptions are dropped, which makes the manipulations more difficult. We use the virial theorem in the form

$$GM = \overline{v^2} R_e \quad ,$$

where for N equal-mass objects

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{v^2} &= \frac{\sum m_i v_i^2}{\sum m_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum v_i^2 \\ \frac{1}{R_e} &= \frac{\sum_{i,j} m_i m_j / r_{ij}}{(\sum m_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j \text{ pairs}} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Then to get the total mass, M , we must measure the mean square velocity of galaxies in the cluster, $\overline{v^2}$, the velocity dispersion; and the harmonic mean radius of galaxies in the cluster, R_e , the “effective radius.”

How do we get these from what we see?

a) Measurements of $\sqrt{\overline{v^2}}$, the velocity dispersion

We measure velocities by Doppler shifts, so we only measure *radial* components of galaxy velocities (the relativistic transverse Doppler effect has never been seen in a gravitational dynamics situation!).

So, suppose we measure the radial velocity, v_z , for many (100 or so) galaxies in a cluster. Then we get a distribution of velocities, and we can find the mean square dispersion of galaxy velocities about the *systemic radial velocity*, v_s . The systemic velocity is the mean velocity of the system,

$$v_s = \frac{1}{N_{GC}} \sum v_z \quad ,$$

where the sum is over cluster members only. The radial velocity dispersion, σ_z , is given by

$$\sigma_z^2 = \langle (v_z - v_s)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{GC}} \sum (v_z - v_s)^2 \quad .$$

But we need the total (three-dimensional) velocity dispersion, $\sqrt{\overline{v^2}}$, in the virial theorem. This is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{v^2} &= \langle v^2 \rangle = \langle (v_x - v_{sx})^2 + (v_y - v_{sy})^2 + (v_z - v_{sz})^2 \rangle \\ &= 3 \langle (v_z - v_s)^2 \rangle \\ &= 3\sigma_z^2 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

This result is true if the velocity distribution is isotropic (as we would expect for a spherical cluster). Therefore, we can use the *observable* radial velocity dispersion, σ_z , to infer the *unobservable* three-dimensional velocity dispersion, $\sqrt{\overline{v^2}}$. In terms of σ_z , the virial theorem becomes

$$GM = 3R_e\sigma_z^2 \quad .$$

Note the importance of the assumption of spherical symmetry, which provides $\overline{v^2} = 3\sigma_z^2$. This assumption allows us, once again, to solve the ubiquitous problem of the hidden dimensions ... in this case, also the two hidden velocities v_x and v_y .

b) Measurement of R_e , the effective gravitational radius

R_e , the effective gravitational radius, is defined by

$$\frac{1}{R_e} = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\text{pairs}} \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{ij}} \quad ,$$

where $M = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i$ is the total mass of a group of N point masses with separations $\{r_{ij}\}$. If all the m_i are the same, say m , then

$$\frac{1}{R_e} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\text{pairs}} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad .$$

How can we estimate R_e from observations of a cluster of masses in which we observe only the projected separations between objects, p_{ij} , not the true (3-D) separations r_{ij} ?

$\sum \frac{1}{p_{ij}}$ cannot be used as an estimator of $\sum \frac{1}{r_{ij}}$ because the few pairs with $p_{ij} \sim 0$ dominate the sum, giving a very bad estimate for R_e (since these small p_{ij}

are the hardest to measure accurately), although mathematically $\sum \frac{1}{p_{ij}}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{r_{ij}}$ are closely related if the vectors \mathbf{r}_{ij} are randomly aligned.

Instead, it is better to assume spherical symmetry and then to use the properties of the observed projected distribution to get $\left\langle \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right\rangle$. Suppose that we measure a number of galaxies/unit area on the plane of the sky $\nu(p)$, where p is the distance from the center of the cluster. Then $2\pi\nu(p)p dp$ is the number of galaxies in the annulus between projected radii p and $(p + dp)$.

The surface density profile, $\nu(p)$, is the projection of the true 3-D density distribution, $n(r)$, the number of galaxies/unit volume in the cluster.

$$\nu(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n(r) dz \quad ,$$

where the integral is along the line of sight, z , and

$$r^2 = p^2 + z^2 \quad .$$

The problem is then to calculate $n(r)$ from the observed $\nu(p)$. This “inversion problem” is difficult and is another example of the *ubiquitous problem of the 3rd dimension* in astrophysics.

The standard method for solving this problem is *Plummer’s method of strip counts*. Suppose that we count the number of galaxies in a one-dimensional strip between x and $(x + dx)$ from the center of the cluster. Let this number be $S(x)dx$. Then $S(x)$ is a projection of $\nu(p)$ in the y direction:

$$S(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(p) dy \quad ,$$

where

$$p^2 = x^2 + y^2$$

(note that I’ve changed from cylindrical (p, ϕ, z) coordinates to Cartesian (x, y, z) coordinates).

Using the expression for $\nu(p)$,

$$S(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz n(r) \quad .$$

Change to polar coordinates in the y - z plane:

$$\varpi^2 = y^2 + z^2$$

so that

$$\int \int dy \, dz \implies \int 2\pi \varpi \, d\varpi$$

and

$$r^2 = \varpi^2 + x^2$$

so that

$$\int \varpi \, d\varpi \implies \int r \, dr \quad .$$

Then

$$S(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \, dz \, n(r) = \int_0^{\infty} 2\pi \varpi \, d\varpi \, n(r) = \int_x^{\infty} 2\pi r \, dr \, n(r)$$

and so

$$\frac{dS}{dx} = -2\pi x \, n(x) \quad ,$$

i.e.,

$$n(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r} \left(\frac{dS(x)}{dx} \right)_{x=r} \quad .$$

So, by doing the 1-D strip counts, we can get $n(r)$; but the appearance of a differential operator in this result makes it difficult to obtain an accurate $n(r)$ from noisy measurements of $S(x)$. How can we use this result to derive R_e ? $M(r)$, the mass enclosed within radius r , is

$$M(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi r^2 dr \, m \, n(r) \quad ,$$

and the potential energy is

$$U = - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{GM(r) \, dM(r)}{r} \quad ,$$

so that, from the *definition*

$$R_e = -\frac{G[M(R)]^2}{U} \quad ,$$

R_e can be deduced. [$r = R$ is the edge of the mass distribution: $n(r) = 0$ for $r > R$.]

In fact, we can find a simple formula for R_e :

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(r) &= - \int_0^r 4\pi x^2 dx \, m \, \frac{1}{2\pi x} \cdot \frac{dS}{dx} \\
 &= -2m \int_0^r x \, \frac{dS}{dx} \, dx \\
 &= -2m [xS(x)]_0^r + 2m \int_0^r S(x) \, dx \\
 &= 2m \left\{ \int_0^r S(x) \, dx - r S(r) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the total mass, $M(R)$, is simply

$$M(R) = 2m \int_0^R S(x) \, dx \quad ,$$

since $S(R) = 0$. Similarly, for the gravitational potential,

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= - \int_0^R \frac{G}{r} \left\{ \int_0^r 4\pi r'^2 m n(r') \, dr' \right\} 4\pi r^2 dr \, m n(r) \\
 &= -16\pi^2 G m^2 \int_0^R n(r) r \, dr \int_0^r n(r') r'^2 \, dr' \quad .
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$n(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{dS}{dr} \quad ,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= -4Gm^2 \int_0^R \frac{dS}{dr} \, dr \int_0^r r' dr' \frac{dS(r')}{dr'} \\
 &= -4Gm^2 \int_0^R \frac{dS}{dr} \, dr \left\{ [r' S(r')]_0^r - \int_0^r S(r') \, dr' \right\} \\
 &= -4Gm^2 \int_0^R \frac{dS}{dr} \, dr \left\{ r S(r) - \int_0^r S(r') \, dr' \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4Gm^2 \left\{ \left[r \frac{1}{2} S^2(r) \right]_0^R - \int_0^R \frac{1}{2} S^2(r) dr \right\} \\
&\quad + 4Gm^2 \left\{ \left[S(r) \int_0^r S(r') dr' \right]_0^R - \int_0^R S(r)^2 dr \right\} \\
&= 2Gm^2 \int_0^R S^2(r) dr - 4Gm^2 \int_0^R S^2(r) dr \\
&= -2Gm^2 \int_0^R S^2(r) dr .
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using it is easy to show that

$$R_e = 2 \frac{\left[\int_0^R S(x) dx \right]^2}{\left[\int_0^R S^2(x) dx \right]} .$$

This is an attractive result: it provides a *direct* route to R_e from plate material. Since it involves only integrals of observed quantities, it should be accurate.